Labor Market Dynamics and Regional Fertility: A Spatial Analysis for Germany

RC28 Summer Meeting

Chen Luo Interdisciplinary Centre for Labour Market and Family Dynamics (LABFAM), University of Warsaw

Jobs & Children

- Unemployment (Adsera, 2004; Becker, 1961; Oppenheimer, 1994)
 - > The relationships are gendered
- Uncertainty (Vignoli, D., et al, 2020)
 - > Objective uncertainty vs subjective uncertainty
- Labor market dynamics
 - > The drivers of employment fluctuation

ໜ່ LabFam

Labor Market Dynamics



Labor Market Dynamics

- Job Creation: gauges the ease with which an individual can secure his/her current job or transition to another one.
 - It's calculated by aggregating job gains from expanding industries within a specific region.
- Job Destruction measures the likelihood of an individual losing their current job.
 - It's calculated by aggregating job losses from contracting industries within a specific region.

Research Questions and Hypotheses

- How do labor market dynamics affect regional fertility?
 - > Job Creation
 - + Lower risks of job loss
 - + Career development opportunities
 - Potential life adjustments
 - Work-life conflicts
 - > Job Destruction
 - Objective job instability
 - Subjective job insecurity
 - + Secure personal life through childbearing



Research Questions and Hypotheses

> Do the effects vary by gender?



- The "modern" male breadwinner model in Germany
- ✤ Are men more affected by job loss?
- Gendered concentration in occupations and industries



- More women in the labor market, but still disadvantaged
- More social benefits for employed women
- ✤ Job loss as an opportunity for childbearing



Data and Methodologies

- Employment data from 2008 to 2019 by industries (WZ2008~88 industries) are from the Federal Employment Agency of Germany
 - Only for workers who are subject to social security contribution
- Data on the rest of the variables were derived from the Regional Database Germany



Data and Methodologies

- Labor market dynamics are measured for all workers, female workers solely, and male workers solely
- Spatial panel data modeling to identify the patterns of changes over time and space
 - Spatial Durbin Model to account for
 - ✓ Diffusion of TFR
 - Labor mobility and spillover of labor market changes

$$y_{it} = \delta \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} y_{jt} + x_{it} \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} x_{ijt} \gamma + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

ໜ່ LabFam

Spatial Modeling





Regional Total Fertility Rate in Germany

Regional TFR in Germany, 2010 and 2020



Descriptive Results Labor Market Dynamics for All Workers



Descriptive Results: Gender-Specific Job Creation



Descriptive Results: Gender-Specific Job Destruction



Result 1: Labor Market Dynamics for All Workers

Dependent Variable: TFR	1	2	3
Job Creation	0.410*	0.335+	0.530**
Job Destruction	-0.514**	-0.502**	-0.585**
Job Creation (Spatial Lag)	-1.174***		-1.065***
Job Destruction (Spatial Lag)	0.347		-0.308
Year of Economic Crisis			-0.018***
Spatial Lag of TFR	0.094***		0.485***

Control variables: Female labor force participation (20-30) Log GDP per capita, Housing Price, Share of Expats at fertility ages

Additional variables for the robustness test: The proportion of women between 20-45

Notes: Source: author's calculation based on data from the Federal Employment Agency of Germany and the Regional Database Germany.

Abbreviation: GDP: gross domestic product. Significance levels: $\pm <10\%$ * <5% ** <1% *** <0.1%

Results 2: Gender-Specific Labor Market Dynamics

Dependent Variable:	Models for Female Workers		Models for Male Workers	
TFR	4	5	6	7
Job Creation of Female/Male Workers	0.954**	1.056**	0.466+	0.401
Job Destruction of Female/Male Workers	-0.613	-1.131**	-0.870***	-0.751**
Female/Male Workers	-2.009**	-2.203***	-1.757***	-1.842***
Female/Male Workers (Spatial Lag)	0.629	0.985	0.487	0.635
Gender Employment Gap		-1.404***		-1.368***
Gender Employment Gap (Spatial lag)		0.506*		0.467*
Spatial Lag of TFR	0.095***	0.093***	0.092***	0.091***

Control variables: Female labor force participation (20-30) Log GDP per capita, Housing Price, Share of Expats at fertility ages

Additional variables for the robustness test: The proportion of women between 20-45

Notes: Source: author's calculation based on data from the Federal Employment Agency of Germany and the Regional Database Germany. Abbreviation: GDP: gross domestic product. Significance levels: $\pm <10\%$ * <5% ** <1% *** <0.1%

wi LabFam



wi LabFam

Results 3 : Direct and Spillover Effects

Model	Labor Market	Average	Average	Average
	Dynamics	Direct Effects	Indirect Effects	Total Effect
All	Job Creation	0.3897	-0.0031	0.3866
Workers	Job Destruction	-0.5090	0.0008	-0.5082
Female	Job Creation	0.9187	-0.0052	0.9135
Workers	Job Destruction	-0.6029	0.0015	-0.6014
Male	Job Creation	0.4353	-0.0046	0.4306
Workers	Job Destruction	-0.8630	0.0011	-0.8619



Conclusion

- Overall, job creation has a positive effect on the regional total fertility rate, whereas job destruction has the opposite effect
- For female workers, the effect of job creation is more pronounced and stronger than that of job destruction;
- For male workers, job destruction plays a more essential role in fertility than job creation
- Controlling for the gender employment gap makes the effects of labor market dynamics stronger for women and weaker for men

Thank you for your attention!





Labor Market Dynamics



Jobs & Children









ໜ່ LabFam